Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,736	DOI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARCOS BATISTA	2617
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Response after RCE</u>	
(1) <u>MARCOS BATISTA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jacques L. Etkowicz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02/13/2009</u>	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 8, 11, 12, 21, 22, 29, 30, 31, 33 and 35		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/574,736

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Etkowicz discussed some details of claim 8 limitations. Claim 8 was cancelled and re-written in claim 35 to include some allowable subject matter present in all of the other independent claims. We also discussed the updating of the following claims: 11, 12, 21, 22, 29, 30, 31, 33 and 35, due to claim dependency and claim language clarification.